Mini review

Characterization of pectolytic erwinias as highly sophisticated pathogens of plants*

Solke H. De Boer

Centre for Animal and Plant Health, Canadian Food Inspection Agency, 93 Mount Edward Road, Charlottetown, PEI, C1A 5T1, Canada (Fax: 1-902-368-0960; E-mail: deboers@inspection.gc.ca)

Accepted 9 September 2003

Key words: bacterial soft rot, decay, taxonomy, ecological fitness, pathogenicity, Pectobacterium

Abstract

Erwinia carotovora and Erwinia chrysanthemi are the two most important soft rotting bacteria of commercially-grown plants. They are genetically diverse as is evident from polymorphisms in the pel and recA genes as well as in rrn, the ribsomal gene cluster. Subpopulations grouped into biovars, pathovars, or subspecies associated with various hosts and in different geographic regions suggest specialization in host preference and/or survival in diverse environments. Previous characterization of the pectolytic erwinias as opportunistic pathogens is being replaced by a realization that this group of bacteria exhibits a sophisticated repertoire of pathogenicity and virulence genes and regulators. The presence of an entire hrp gene cluster and associated type III secretion system, and global regulators which regulate virulence determinants such as exoenzyme production and motility, attest to a highly specialized pathogen. The fact that production of extracellular plant cell wall-degrading enzymes are coordinately activated by the diffusible signal molecule N-acyl-homoserine lactone in a population density-dependent manner may explain the occurrence of pectolytic erwinia in asymptomatic plant tissues. Transgenic plants expressing bacterial quorumsensing signal molecules modulate this sensory system and exhibit resistance to soft rot infection. The pectolytic erwinias, being significant plant pathogens that are neither of quarantine concern nor a human health hazard while readily isolated from field sources, make an ideal model for investigating the genetic basis of plant pathogenesis and environmental fitness.

Introduction

The enterobacter-like plant pathogens which macerate and decay plant tissue, often referred to as the pectolytic erwinias, reside in the genus *Erwinia* named after the eminent plant pathologist, Erwin F. Smith. Two species, *Erwinia carotovora* and *Erwinia chrysanthemi*, traditionally circumscribed the important plant pathogenic strains but reclassification into multiple species in a new genus, *Pectobacterium*, has been proposed (Gardan et al., 2003).

Previous suggestions to separate the pectolytic enterobacteria into the genus *Pectobacterium* has not

found favor among phytobacteriologists. Initially the suggestion was made by Waldee (1945), who recommended the segregation on the basis of the unique pectolytic activity of the bacteria. Subsequently, Hauben et al. (1998) revived the suggestion and added evidence from sequence analysis of the 16S ribosomal DNA of various plant-associated members of the *Enterobacteriacae* to support the proposal. Although phenotypic characterization and analysis of a single DNA fragment might have been considered insufficient for subdivision at the generic level, the DNA: DNA hybridization study conducted by Gardan et al. (2003) provides further stimulation to change in favor of the new nomenclature. In this review, however, I will remain with the familiar current usage of *Erwinia* as the genus name.

The pectolytic erwinias are ubiquitous in environments that support plant growth, and because they

^{*}Based on the symposium paper presented at the 8th International Congress of Plant Pathology, Christchurch, New Zealand, February 2–7, 2003.

may be found in association with asymptomatic plants, they have been viewed as opportunistic pathogens analogous to medical bacteria that infect only immunologically compromised individuals. However, in this brief review I wish to show that recent data supports a different view. The emerging picture is of pectolytic erwinias as a group of diverse ecologically-adapted bacteria that interact with host plant species at a level of sophistication that rivals other genera of phytopathogenic prokaryotes. I will review progress in our understanding of their diversity, ecological fitness, and pathogenicity.

Diversity

For many years it was recognized that E. carotovora was comprised of two different strain clusters. One cluster was more or less restricted to strains associated with the blackleg disease of potato and has been known since 1969 as E. carotovora subsp. (or var.) atroseptica (Dye, 1969). The atroseptica strains are differentiated from other E. carotovora strains by their inability to grow at 36 °C, production of acid from α -methyl glucoside, and production of reducing substances from sucrose. Strains of E. carotovora that do not conform to these criteria were classified as E. carotovora subsp. carotovora. More recently, other subspecies, namely, betavasculorum (Thomson et al., 1981) wasabiae (Goto and Matsumoto, 1987), odorifera (Gallois et al., 1992), and brasiliensis (Duarte et al., 2003) have been delineated on the basis of biochemical and physiological criteria to represent clusters of strains associated with specific plant diseases. Generally, the subspecies taxons are supported by molecular and sequence data. Analysis of restriction fragment length polymorphisms of PCR amplicons generated from the intergenic spacer in the ribsomal (rrn) operon and the recA and pel genes (rec for recombination, pel for pectate lyase) differentiate the subspecies of E. carotovora and distinguish them from E. chrysanthemi (Helias et al., 1998; Toth et al., 2001; Waleron et al., 2002). Similarly, amplified fragment length polymorphisms of genomic DNA reveal strain clusters consistent with subspecies identification. Phylogenetic trees constructed on the basis of 16S DNA sequences and on limited sequence data for the intergenic spacer region of the small rrn operon, also identified the subspecies as separate taxons (Fessehaie et al., 2002). The recent study by Gardan et al. (2003), in which DNA: DNA hybridization was used for inter-subspecies comparisons, not only supported the subspecies identifications but provided data to promote many of them to species rank.

Erwinia chrysanthemi differs from E. carotovora in its organization of genes for pectic enzymes, and in DNA sequences of key regions such as 16S ribosomal genes and IGS regions. In practice, E. chrysanthemi has been differentiated from the other pectolytic erwinia on the basis of indole production, phosphatase activity, and erythromycin sensitivity. Diversity within the species is related to host specificity and is captured by division of the species into biovars or pathovars. Recently it has been proposed, on the basis of quantitative hybridization and phenotypic characterization, that subgroups of E. chrysanthemi be given species status. Hence the species Erwinia (or Pectobacterium) dianthicola, dieffenbachiae, chrysanthemi, zeae, dadanti, and E. (or Brennaria) paradisiaca were recommended (Samson et al., 2001).

Genetic diversity at the subspecific level is recognized in *E. carotovora* serotypes based on differences in the lipopolysaccharide O antigen (De Boer et al., 1979) and in differences in the O and H antigens of *E. chrysanthemi* (Janse and Ruissen, 1988). Additional subspecific diversity is evident in discriminatory phage sensitivity (Toth et al., 1999), and banding patterns achieved by PCR–RFLP protocols (Boccara et al., 1991; Nassar et al., 1996; Waleron et al., 2002). There are also significant phenotypic differences among strains within subspecies.

Ecological fitness

It is one thing for a single-bacterial species to be ubiquitously present in many diverse environments, but quite another thing for different ecologicallyadapted strains to occupy specific habitats suited to their unique characteristics. While the first perception, in particular, emerges from data relating the presence of E. carotovora subsp. carotovora to many different hosts and in many different milieus including insects, soil, snow, clouds, fresh surface water, and coastal salt water, these studies generally have failed to consider differences among the isolated strains. The plausible scenario of pectolytic erwinias off the Pacific coast, aerosolized by wave activity, being carried at high altitudes over the Rocky Mountains and being deposited in irrigation water and on potato fields in Colorado by rain showers, needs to be confirmed by monitoring the characteristics of strains found at each location to be sure that different populations of the bacteria do not reside

at the different sampling sites (Harrison et al., 1987; Maddox and Harrison, 1988). Earlier work on potato indicated that strains isolated from foliage (predominantly serogroup XVIII) in the Pemberton Valley of British Columbia were a different serotype than those found on belowground portions of the plant (predominantly serogroup III) (De Boer, 1983). The obvious assumption that tubers from Erwinia-free stem cuttings would become contaminated with Erwinia washed by rain from aerial sources deposited on leaf surfaces was not substantiated in this study. Similarly, when Erwinia-free potato plants were artificially contaminated with a foreign potato isolate of E. carotovora subsp. carotovora (serotype X) and having survived for a full growing season, was found to have been replaced the following spring on volunteer plants by serotype III strains that commonly occur on potato in that geographic area (De Boer, 1979). Specific ecologically-adapted strains tend to survive and predominate in those environments to which they are best adapted to the exclusion of other strains.

The discovery that different subspecies are associated with specific hosts also supports the notion that E. carotovora and E. chrysanthemi are not homogeneous species involved in pathogenesis of many plant species. Rather specific, identifiable subgroups of pectolytic erwinia are found in specific ecological niches. Hence E. carotovora subsp. betavasculorum is associated with sugar beet, E. carotovora subsp. wasabiae with horseradish and E. carotovora subsp. odorifera with witloof chicory and allium crops. Whether the association of E. carotovora subsp. brasiliensis with blackleg of potato in Brazil instead of E. carotovora subsp. atroseptica as found in North America and Europe is due to differences in habitat or due to chance selection of strains in a different geographic area is yet to be determined (Duarte et al., 2003). It is also not yet known to what extent subtypes within subspecies are specific for unique ecological niches. One study did suggest that the genetic diversity of E. carotovora subsp. carotovora in Japan appears to be related to their host plants (Seo et al., 2000).

The genetic basis of ecological fitness factors are virtually unknown but are expected to be varied and diverse. One ecological fitness candidate is the putative ABC transporter gene, *ybiT*, described in *E. chyrsanthemi*, which gives a competitive advantage against endophytic bacteria *in planta* (Llama-Palacios et al., 2002). Resistance of erwinia strains to inhibitors produced by competing heterologous bacteria, as exemplified by production

of 2,4-diacetlyphlorogucinol by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (Cronin et al., 1997), are important factors not yet characterized. The identification of chrysobactin in *E. carotovora* subsp. *carotovora* in addition to two other catechol siderophores (Barnes and Ishimaru, 1999) suggests that iron sequestration is also a complex fitness factor that may define a suitable niche (Expert, 1999).

Pathogenicity

Exoenzymes are the primary virulence factors of pectolytic erwinias. The exoenzymes pectate lyase, pectin lyase, and polygalaturonase, are directly involved in plant tissue maceration by digesting the pectin that cement plant cells together. Others such as proteases and cellulases contribute to virulence by augmenting the activity of the pectic enzymes. However, there is more to pathogenicity than maceration alone. Purified pectic enzymes applied to plant tissue can cause maceration, and simple transformation of Escherichia coli with the genes for pectate lyase does not transform E. coli into a plant pathogen even though such transformed bacteria can incite tissue maceration (Keen and Tamaki, 1986; Lei et al., 1985). Pathogenicity also involves invasion of plant tissue and eluding plant defense mechanisms.

Although we are far from having a complete picture of pathogenicity for any plant pathogenic bacteria, it is becoming crystal clear that virulence factors are under tight genetic control. The genetic control apparatus in pectolytic erwinias is no less complex than in *Ralstonia solanacearum* (Schell, 2000) or *Pseudomonas syringae* (Hirano and Upper, 2000). The sophisticated regulatory mechanisms may be masked when erwinias cause decay in metabolically dormant or excised plant parts; they are nevertheless essential for causing disease in living host plants. Exoenzymes are under strict transcriptional and postranscriptional control by regulatory mechanisms which includes cell density dependent quorum sensing and response to plant signals.

Global regulatory genes such as *hexA* and *hexY* (*hex* for hyperproduction of exoenzymes) probably repress a cascade of secondary regulators since mutations in these genes leads to overproduction of extracellular enzymes and concomitant hypermotility and production of harpin, the protein involved in eliciting the hypersensitive response (Harris et al., 1998; Shih et al., 1999). The review by Hugouvieux-Cotte-Pattat et al. (1996) on the pectinolysis in *E. chrysanthemi* and the

work of A.K. Chatterjee's laboratory and others on E. carotovora show that the pectolytic erwinias possess various genes of a regulatory cascade that are analogous to genes associated with pathogenicity in medically important enterics (Mukherjee et al., 2000). The kdgR gene (kdg for 2-keto-3-deoxygluconate general repressor) product, for example, which negatively regulates the genes involved in pectin degradation by binding to the operator regions, has a high degree of similarity to the deduced amino acid sequence of KdgR in E. coli (Liu et al., 1999; Reverchon et al., 1991). Similarly the rsmA and rsmB (rsm for repressor of secondary metabolites) genes which negatively regulate exoenzyme production is found in many pectolytic bacteria and are homologs of csrA and csrB (csr for carbon storage regulator), respectively, in E. coli where they control glycogen accumulation, cell size, and cell surface properties (Cui et al., 1995). In fact, the gene pair modulate the expression of many genes in a unique fashion. RsmA, as a RNA-binding protein, promotes message decay and is uniquely neutralized when bound by rsmB RNA in an inactive nucleoprotein complex (Liu et al., 1998). Production of both RsmA and rsmB RNA are regulated by a third component, rsmC of this global regulatory system (Cui et al., 1999). Mukherjee et al. (2000) speculate on how hexA, kdgR, the RpoS alternate sigma factor, and the rsm regulators interact to govern virulence factors in E. carotovora subsp. carotovora. Even more rigorous control over exoenzyme and harpin production appears to be achieved by involvement of the two-component gacA/gacS system (gac for global activator sensor kinase) which regulates these virulence factors in a positive way (Eriksson et al., 1998) and may do so by regulating rsmB transcription (Cui et al., 2001).

Bacterial pathogenicity requires mechanisms to transport weaponry for assault on host components to the outside of the cell in which they are produced. To this end, plant pathogenic bacteria have discreet secretion systems. In pectolytic erwinias, proteases are secreted directly through the cell envelop without periplasmic intermediates via the type I pathway. However, the pectinases and cellulases utilize a type II secretory system, a two-step process which includes an intermediary periplasmic stage. The type II apparatus of *E. carotovora* subsp. *carotovora* is encoded by some 15 genes in the *out* cluster (Thomas et al., 1997). The importance of a third secretory system (type III) for pathogenicity is deduced from the presence of the *hrp* gene cluster (*hrp* for hypersensitivity reaction and

pathogenicity) in E. chrysanthemi and E. carotovora (Bell et al., 2002; Rantakari et al., 2001; Yang et al., 2002). hrp genes designate the type III secretion pathway in other plant pathogenic bacteria and are essential for virulence (Hueck, 1998). Although mutations in hrp genes of E. chrysanthemi did not greatly affect its ability to macerate plant tissue in experimental inoculation studies, it may serve a crucial role in pathogenesis in natural settings (Yang et al., 2002). Since the type III system serves to transfer effector proteins via a pilius-dependent mechanism into host cells in bacterial/animal pathosystems (Hueck, 1998), it is tempting to speculate that pectolytic erwinia also communicate with the host plant via the hrp system. Both harpin, the apparent effector protein which causes the hypersensitive response, and genes of the type III pathway are under tight regulatory control (Chatterjee et al., 2002). Control mechanisms of many genes within the hrp cluster are yet to be elucidated as is a clear role for the type III secretory system. Perhaps it is this portion of the pathogenicity apparatus that determines host

The discovery of density-dependant quorum sensing has added a whole new dimension to our understanding of how bacteria respond and interact with their environment. Like many gram negative bacteria, the erwinias utilize an acyl-homoserine lactone (AHL) as a signal molecule and it regulates expression of pectic exoenzymes. Whether AHL production is regulated by the Rsm system (Mukherjee et al., 2000) or is itself a regulator of rsmB (Koiv and Mae, 2001), it is clear that modulation of AHL affects pathogenicity. Experimental tobacco and potato plants genetically modified to produce N-acyl-homoserine lactonase were significantly more resistant to E. carotovora than nontransformed plants (Dong et al., 2001), presumably because the hydrolyzing enzyme inactivated the microbial AHL. If indeed the exoenzymes required for disease initiation are activated only when population density surpasses a set threshold, the pectolytic bacteria may reside quite unobtrusively at low densities inside plants. Their presence among endophytic populations of ostensibly healthy plants has been observed (Helias et al., 2000; Surette et al., 2003). My own research showed that E. carotovora subsp. atroseptica can be present in potato stems, stolons, and tubers without manifestation of disease (unpublished), as well as in micropropagated plantlets growing on artificial media (Lan, 1991). The widespread presence of E. carotovora subsp. atroseptica at the stolon attachment site of

asymptomatic tubers (De Boer, 2002) may represent one aspect of the bacterium's strategy for self preservation and dissemination as innocuous microflora, only to blossom as a decay-inducing organism when the population density increases, AHL accumulates, and exoenzymes macerate plant tissue to provide a large nutrient sink.

Conclusion

Despite the negative aspects of the enormous loss in agricultural crops caused by bacterial decay, one can only appreciate the complexity of the inciting bacteria at both the population and molecular levels. The current state of knowledge allows us to view pectolytic bacteria as much more than just producers of macerating enzymes. Specialized members within a complex population structure thrive in specific niches wherein they exist as benign members of the microbial flora and yet possess the apparatus of a devastating plant pathogen held in check by an intricate and delicately balanced regulatory system. Expression of virulence and resulting plant destruction, from the bacterium's perspective, is a clever means of accessing a large nutrient source.

As knowledge of bacterial genetics is augmented by sequencing initiatives and the teasing out of regulatory pathways, it will be possible to come to a deeper understanding of what is involved in bacterial survival and adaptation. In many ways, the pectolytic erwinias are an ideal model system to pursue these studies since this group of bacteria is phylogenetically diverse, is easily isolated, is not usually of medical or quarantine concern, is an economically important bacterium, and is amendable to laboratory manipulation. Whatever the outcome, the pectolytic erwinias have earned a respectable and even noteworthy place among the other phytopathogenic prokaryotes.

References

- Barnes HH and Ishimaru CA (1999) Purification of catechol siderophores by boronate affinity chromatography: Identification of chrysobactin from *Erwinia carotovora* subsp. *carotovora*. BioMetals 12: 83–87
- Bell KS, Avrova AO, Holeva C, Cardle L, Morris W, De Jong W, Toth IK, Waugh R, Bryan GJ and Birch PR (2002) Sample sequencing of a selected region of the genome of *Erwinia carotovora* subsp. *atroseptica* reveals candidate phytopathogenecity genes and allows comparison with *Escherichia coli*. Microbiology 148: 1367–1378

- Boccara M, Vedel R, Lalo D, Lebrun M-H and Lafay JF (1991) Genetic diversity and host range in strains of *Erwinia chrysan-themi*. Molecular Plant–Microbe Interaction 4: 293–299
- Chatterjee A, Cui Y and Chatterjee AK (2002) Regulation of Erwinia carotovora $hrpL_{\rm Ecc}$ (Sigma- $L_{\rm Ecc}$), which encodes an extracytoplasmic function subfamily of sigma factor required for expression of the HRP regulon. Molecular Plant–Microbe Interaction 15: 971–980
- Cronin D, Moenne-Loccoz Y, Fenton A, Dunne C, Dowlling DN and O'Gara F (1997) Ecological interaction of a biocontrol *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain producing 2,4-diacetyl-phloroglucinol with the soft rot potato pathogen *Erwinia carotovora* subsp. *atroseptica*. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 23: 95–106
- Cui Y, Chatterjee A and Chatterjee AK (2001) Effects of the two-component system comprising GacA and GacS of Erwinia carotovora subsp. carotovora on the production of global regulatory rsmB RNA, extracellular enzymes, and harpin-Ecc. Molecular Plant–Microbe Interaction 14: 516–526
- Cui Y, Chatterjee A, Liu Y, Dumenyo CK and Chatterjee AK (1995) Identification of a global repressor gene, *rsmA*, of *Erwinia carotovora* subsp. *carotovora* that controls extracellular enzymes, *N*-(3-oxohexanoyl)-L-homoserine lactone and pathogenicity in soft-rotting *Erwinia* spp. Journal of Bacteriology 177: 5108–5115
- Cui Y, Mukherjee A, Dumenyo CK, Liu Y and Chatterjee AK (1999) *rsmC* of the soft-rotting bacterium *Erwinia carotovora* subsp. *carotovora* negatively controls extracellular enzyme and harpin-Ecc production and virulence by modulating levels of regulatory RNA (*rsmB*) and RNA-binding protein (RsmA). Journal of Bacteriology 181: 6042–6052
- De Boer SH (1979) *Erwinia carotovora* contamination of symptomless potato foliage, roots, and tubers. American Potato Journal 56: 458–459 (Abstr.)
- De Boer SH (1983) Frequency and distribution of *Erwinia* carotovora serogroups associated with potato in the Pemberton Valley of British Columbia. Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology 5: 279–284
- De Boer SH (2002) Relative incidence of *Erwinia carotovora* subsp. *atroseptica* in stolon end and peridermal tissue of potato tubers in Canada. Plant Disease 86: 960–964
- De Boer SH, Copeman RJ and Vruggink H (1979) Serogroups of *Erwinia carotovora* potato strains determined with diffusible somatic antigens. Phytopathology 69: 316–319
- Dong Y-H, Wang L-H, Xu J-L, Zhang H-B, Zhang X-F and Zhang L-H (2001) Quenching quorum-sensing-dependent bacterial infection by an *N*-acyl homoserine lactonase. Nature 411: 813–817
- Duarte V, De Boer SH, Ward LJ and de Oliveira AMR (2003) Erwinia carotovora subsp. brasiliensis subsp. nov., associated with potato blackleg in Brazil. Proceedings of the 8th International Congress of Plant Pathology, Christchurch, New Zealand, Vol 2, p 12 (Abstr.)
- Dye DW (1969) A taxonomic study of the genus *Erwinia*: II. the '*carotovora*' group. New Zealand Journal of Science 12: 81–97
- Eriksson ARB, Andersson RA, Pirhonen M and Palva ET (1998) Two-component regulators involved in the global control of

- virulence in *Erwinia carotovora* subsp. *carotovora*. Molecular Plant–Microbe Interaction 11: 743–752
- Expert D (1999) Withholding and exchanging iron: Interactions between *Erwinia* spp. and their plant hosts. Annual Review of Phytopathology 37: 307–334
- Fessehaie A, De Boer SH and Levesque CA (2002) Molecular characterization of DNA encoding 16S–23S rRNA intergenic spacer regions and 16S rRNA of pectolytic *Erwinia* species. Canadian Journal of Microbiology 48: 387–398
- Gallois A, Samson R, Ageron E and Grimont PAD (1992) Erwinia carotovora subsp. odorifera subsp. nov., associated with odorous soft rot of chicory (Cichorium intybus L.) International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology 42: 582–588
- Gardan L, Gouy C, Christen R and Samson R (2003) Elevation of three subspecies of *Pectobacterium carotovorum* to species level: *Pectobacterium atrosepticum* sp. nov., *Pectobacterium betavasculorum* sp. nov., and *Pectobacterium wasabiae* sp. nov. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 53: 381–391
- Goto M and Matsumoto K (1987) *Erwinia carotovora* subsp. wasabiae subsp. nov. isolated form diseased rhizomes and fibrous roots of Japanese horseradish (*Eutrema wasabi* Maxim.). International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology 37: 130–135
- Harris SJ, Shih YL, Bentley SD and Salmond GPC (1998) The hexA gene of Erwinia carotovora encodes a LysR homologue and regulates motility and expression of multiple virulence determinants. Molecular Microbiology 28: 705–717
- Harrison MD, Franc GD, Maddox DA, Michaud JE and McCarter-Zorner NJ (1987) Presence of *Erwinia carotovora* in surface water in North America. Journal of Applied Bacteriology 62: 565–570
- Hauben L, Moore ERB, Vauterin L, Steenackers M, Mergaert J, Verdonck L and Swings J (1998) Phylogenetic position of phytopathogens within the *Enterobacteriaceae*. Systematic and Applied Microbiology 21: 384–397
- Helias V, Le Roux A-C, Bertheau Y, Andrivon D, Gauthier J-P and Jouan B (1998) Characterization of *Erwinia carotovora* subspecies and detection of *Erwinia carotovora* subsp. *atroseptica* in potato plants, soil and water extracts with PCR-based methods. European Journal of Plant Pathology 104: 685–699
- Helias V, Andrivon D and Jouan B (2000) Internal colonization pathways of potato plants by *Erwinia carotovora* ssp. *atroseptica*. Plant Pathology 49: 33–42
- Hirano SS and Upper CD (2000) Bacteria in the leaf ecosystem with emphasis on *Pseudomonas syringae* a pathogen, ice nucleus, and epiphyte. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews 64: 624–653
- Hueck CJ (1998) Type III protein secretion systems in bacterial pathogens of animals and plants. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews 62: 379–433
- Hugouvieux-Cotte-Pattat N, Condemine G, Nasser W and Reverchon S (1996) Regulation of pectinolysis in *Erwinia chrysanthemi*. Annual Review of Microbiology 50: 213–257
- Janse JD and Ruissen MT (1988) Characterization and classification of *Erwinia chrysanthemi* strains from several hosts in the Netherlands. Phytopathology 78: 800–808
- Keen NT and Tamaki S (1986) Structure of two pectate lyase genes from *Erwinia chrysanthemi* EC16 and their high-level

- expression in *Escherichia coli*. Journal of Bacteriology 168: 595–606
- Koiv V and Mae A (2001) Quorum sensing controls the synthesis of virulence factors by modulating *rsmA* gene expression in *Erwinia carotovora* subsp. *carotovora*. Molecular and General Genomics 265: 287–292
- Lan X (1991) Effect of soft rot erwinias on micropropagated potato plantlets and greenhouse plants and characterization of a factor inhibiting root elongation. M.Sc. Thesis, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada
- Lei S-P, Lin H-C, Hefferman L and Wilcox G (1985) Cloning of the pectate lyase genes from *Erwinia carotovora* and their expression in *Escherichia coli*. Gene 35: 63–70
- Liu Y, Cui Y, Mukherjee A and Chatterjee AK (1997) Activation of the *Erwinia carotovora* subsp. *carotovora* pectin lyase structural gene *pnlA*: A role for RdgB. Microbiology 143: 705–712
- Liu Y, Cui Y, Mukherjee A and Chatterjee AK (1998) Characterization of a novel RNA regulator of *Erwinia carotovora* ssp. *carotovora* that controls production of extracellular enzymes and secondary metabolites. Molecular Microbiology 29: 219– 234
- Liu Y, Jiang G, Cui Y, Mukherjee A, Ma WL and Chatterjee AK (1999) kdgR_{Ecc} negatively regulates genes for pectinases, cellulase, protease, harpin-Ecc and a global RNA regulator in Erwinia carotovora subsp. carotovora. Journal of Bacteriology 181: 2411–2422
- Llama-Palacios A, Lopez-Solanilla E and Rodriguez-Palenzuela P (2002) The *ybiT* gene of *Erwinia chrysanthemi* codes for a putative ABC transporter and is involved in competitiveness against endophytic bacteria during infection. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 68: 1624–1630
- Maddox DA and Harrison MD (1988) Presence and population dynamics of *Erwinia carotovora* in irrigation water in south central Colorado. Journal of Applied Bacteriology 64: 169–182
- Mukherjee A, Cui Y, Ma W, Liu Y and Chatterjee AK (2000) hexA of Erwinia carotovora ssp. carotovora strain Ecc 71 negatively regulates production of RpoS and rsmB RNA, a global regulator of extracellular proteins, plant virulence and the quorum-sensing signal, N-(3-oxohexanoyl)-L-homoserine lactone. Environmental Microbiology 2: 203–215
- Nassar A, Darrasse A, Lemattre M, Kotoujanksy A, Dervin C, Vedel R and Bertheau Y (1996) Characterization of *Erwinia chrysanthemi* by pectinolytic isozyme polymorphism and restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of PCR-amplified fragments of *pel* genes. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 62: 2228–2235
- Rantakari A, Virtaharju O, Vahamiko S, Taira S, Palva ET, Saarilahti HT and Romantschuk M (2001) Type II secretion contributes to the pathogenesis of the soft-rot pathogen *Erwinia carotovora*: Partial characterization of the *hrp* gene cluster. Molecular Plant–Microbe Interaction 14: 962–968
- Reverchon S, Nasser W and Robert-Baudouy J (1991) Characterization of *kdgR*, a gene of *Erwinia chrysanthemi* that regulates pectin degradation. Molecular Microbiology 5: 2203–2216
- Samson R, Legendre JB, Vargoz S and Gardan L (2001) Six new species are delineated within *Erwinia chrysanthemi*. In: De Boer SH (ed) Plant Pathogenic Bacteria (p 150) Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, the Netherlands

- Schell MA (2000) Control of virulence and pathogenicity genes of *Ralstonia solanacearum* by an elaborate sensory network. Annual Review of Phytopathology 38: 263–292
- Seo ST, Furuya N and Takanami Y (2000) Genetic diversity in Tahi strains of *Erwinia carovora* subsp. *carotovora* based on ERIC profiles and RFLP of a *pel* gene. Journal of the Faculty of Agriculture Kyushu University 45: 15–19
- Shih Y-L, Harris SJ, Borner G, Rivet MM and Salmond GP (1999)
 The *hexY* genes of *Erwinia carotovora* ssp. *carotovora* and ssp. *atroseptica* encode novel proteins that regulate virulence and motility coordinately. Environmental Microbiology 1: 535–547
- Surette MA, Sturz AV, Lada RR and Nowak J (2003) Bacterial endophytes in processing carrots (*Daucus carota L.* var. sativus): Their localization, population density, biodiversity and their effects on plant growth. Plant and Soil 253: 381–390
- Thomas JD, Reeves PJ and Salmond GPC (1997) The general secretion pathway of *Erwinia carotovora* subsp. *carotovora*: Analysis of the membrane topology of OutC and OutF. Microbiology 143: 713–720
- Thomson SV, Hildebrand DC and Schroth MN (1981) Identification and nutritional differentiation of the *Erwinia* sugar beet pathogen from members of *Erwinia carotovora* and *Erwinia chrysanthemi*. Phytopathology 71: 1037–1042

- Toth IK, Avrova AO and Hyman LJ (2001) Rapid identification and differentiation of the soft rot erwinias by 16S–23S intergenic transcribed spacer-PCR and restriction fragment length polymorphism analyses. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 67: 4070–4076
- Toth IK, Bertheau Y, Hyman LJ, Laplaze L, Lopez MM, McNicol J, Niepold F, Persson P, Salmond GPC, Sletten A, van der Wolf JM and Perombelon MCM (1999) Evaluation of phenotypic and molecular typing techniques for determining diversity in *Erwinia carotovora* subsp. *atroseptica*. Journal of Applied Microbiology 87: 770–781
- Waldee EL (1945) Comparative studies of some peritrichous phytopathogenic bacteria. Iowa State College Journal of Science 19: 435–484
- Waleron M, Waleron K, Podhajska AJ and Lojkowska E (2002) Genotyping of bacteria belonging to the former *Erwinia* genus by PCR–RFLP analysis of a *recA* gene fragment. Microbiology 148: 583–595
- Yang CH, Gavilanes-Rulz M, Okinaka Y, Vedel R, Berthuy I, Boccara M, Chen JW-T, Perna NT and Keen NT (2002) hrp genes of Erwinia chrysanthemi 3937 are important virulence factors. Molecular Plant–Microbe Interaction 15: 472–480